Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Li-Min Yang and Zhao-Jie Liu\*

Key Laboratory of Pesticide and Chemical Biology of the Education Ministry, College of Chemistry, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: zhjliu@mail.ccnu.edu.cn

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 KMean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.005 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.034 wR factor = 0.082 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The molecule of the title compound,  $C_{19}H_{14}N_6S$ , is nonplanar and possesses no crystallographic symmetry. A  $C-H\cdots N$ intermolecular hydrogen bond is observed.

6-Methyl-3,8-diphenyl-5H-pyrazolo[3,4-e]-

[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-b][1,3,4]thiadiazepine

Received 7 April 2005 Accepted 18 April 2005 Online 27 April 2005

## Comment

The title compound, (I), belongs to the class of MRE (the most representative adenosine receptor antagonists) analogues, which have given one of the best results to date in terms of  $A_{2A}$  and  $A_3$  antagonistic affinity and selectivity (Baraldi *et al.*, 1999; Baraldi & Borea, 2000), and it is thus of potential biological interest. The present structure determination of (I) was carried out in order to obtain further stereochemical information on the molecule.



In the molecule of (I) (Fig.1), the bond distances show some unexpected values (Table 1), which are not consistent with classically localized bond-valence forms. In particular, the C9=N4 and C12=N6 bonds, which are both formally double bonds, are not of equal length. In addition, the N1-N2, N3-N4 and N5-N6 bonds are expected to be shorter than the C-N single bonds, C1-N3, C2-N3 and C11-N5. In fact, none of the former three is shorter than any of the latter three. On the other hand, they are all shorter than the C12-C10 bond in the ring system. The bond distances in the C11-S1-C1 fragment are normal for their types. These two C-S bonds have effectively identical lengths, which are in the normal range.

The pyrazole and triazole rings are not coplanar, but make an angle of  $50.1 (2)^{\circ}$  with each other, while the sevenmembered ring adopts a half-chair conformation, with atoms S and N4 out of the plane of the C atoms. In order to reduce steric hindrance with the central ring systems, the phenyl ring C3–C8 makes a dihedral angle of 24.8 (2)° with the triazole ring and the phenyl ring C14–C19 makes an angle of 42.4 (2)° with the pyrazole ring; they are thus not conjugated with these heterocyclic rings.

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved





A view of the molecule of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



#### Figure 2

The molecular packing of (I), viewed along the b axis. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

The molecules in the crystal structure of (I) are interconnected by  $C-H \cdot \cdot \cdot N$  hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2). Details of the intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions are given in Table 2.

## **Experimental**

For the synthesis of (I), a solution of 5-chloro-3-methyl-1-phenylpyrazol-4-yl carboxaldehyde (0.44 g, 2 mmol) and 4-amino-5-phenyl4-thio-1,2,4-triazole (0.39 g, 2 mmol) in ethanol was stirred under reflux for 5 h. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, recrystallization of the residue from ethanol gave the Schiff base. In the next step, NaH (0.024 g, 1 mmol) in dry dimethylformamide (6 ml) was placed in a 100 ml nitrogen-flushed three-necked round-bottomed flask in an ice-water bath. After 30 min, a solution of the Schiff base (0.42 g, 1 mmol) in dry dimethylformamide (10 ml) was added dropwise and the solution was stirred for 6 h under N<sub>2</sub> at 353 K. After completion of the reaction, the solution was poured over ice-water to give the crude product. Suitable crystals of (I) for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained by evaporation of a solution in ethyl acetate.

#### Crystal data

 $C_{19}H_{14}N_6S$   $M_r = 358.42$ Orthorhombic, *Pna2*<sub>1</sub> a = 10.083 (4) Å b = 12.576 (4) Å c = 13.849 (5) Å V = 1756.1 (10) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4 $D_x = 1.356$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

### Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD areadetector diffractometer  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996)  $T_{\min} = 0.919, T_{\max} = 0.961$ 9699 measured reflections

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$   $wR(F^2) = 0.082$  S = 1.032950 reflections 236 parameters H-atom parameters constrained Cell parameters from 958 reflections  $\theta = 3.0-22.9^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.20 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 295 (2) K Block, colourless  $0.26 \times 0.24 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

2950 independent reflections 2211 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{int} = 0.036$   $\theta_{max} = 26.4^{\circ}$   $h = -12 \rightarrow 10$   $k = -15 \rightarrow 15$  $l = -12 \rightarrow 17$ 

$$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^{-2}) + (0.0321P)^2 \\ &+ 0.2938P] \\ &where \ P = (F_o^{-2} + 2F_c^{-2})/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{max} = 0.18 \ e^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{min} = -0.17 \ e^{-3} \\ &Absolute \ structure: \ Flack \ (1983), \\ &with \ 1075 \ Friedel \ pairs \\ &Flack \ parameter: \ 0.05 \ (9) \end{split}$$

# Table 1 Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^{\circ}$ ).

S1-C1	1.737 (3)	N4-C9	1.277 (3)
S1-C11	1.740 (3)	N5-N6	1.369 (3)
N1-C1	1.306 (4)	N5-C11	1.351 (3)
N1-N2	1.379 (3)	N5-C14	1.418 (3)
N2-C2	1.314 (3)	N6-C12	1.326 (4)
N3-C2	1.369 (3)	C2-C3	1.463 (4)
N3-C1	1.369 (3)	C9-C10	1.443 (4)
N3-N4	1.400 (3)	C10-C12	1.414 (4)
C1-S1-C11	97.68 (12)	N3-C1-S1	127.3 (2)
C9-N4-N3	117.5 (2)	N4-C9-C10	132.7 (3)
C11-N5-N6	111.4 (2)	C11-C10-C9	128.0 (3)
C12-N6-N5	105.5 (2)	C10-C11-S1	127.7 (2)
N2-N1-C1-S1	-172.02(17)	C9-C10-C11-N5	173.0 (3)
C2-N3-C1-N1	-0.9(3)	C9-C10-C11-S1	-6.6(4)
N4-N3-C1-N1	-175.0 (2)	C11-C10-C12-C13	-176.7 (3)

Ta Hy	ble dro	2 gen-boi	nd geome	etry (	(Å, °).				
D	TT	4	L.			TT	4	D	

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots A$
C4-H4···N2	0.93	2.54	2.856 (4)	100
$C8-H8\cdots N4$	0.93	2.42	2.949 (4)	116
$C9-H9\cdots N2^{i}$	0.93	2.47	3.379 (4)	166
$C15{-}H15{\cdot}{\cdot}{\cdot}S1$	0.93	2.73	3.180 (3)	111

Symmetry code: (i)  $-x + 1, -y + 2, z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model. H atoms were given isotropic displacement parameters equal to 1.2 (1.5 for methyl H atoms) times  $U_{eq}$  of their carrier atoms, and C-H distances were set at 0.96 Å for the methyl H atoms and 0.93 Å for those of the other groups.

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1995); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL-NT* (Siemens, 1995); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL-NT*.

## References

Baraldi, P. G. & Borea, P. A. (2000). Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 21, 456-459.

- Baraldi, P. G., Cacciari, B. & Romagnoli, R. (1999). J. Med. Chem. 42, 4473-4478.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97, University of Göttingen, Germany.

Siemens (1995). SMART (Version 5.0), SAINT (Version 5.0) and SHELXTL-NT (Version 5.10). Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.